



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

11A

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,039	07/14/2003	Yasushi Koubuchi	501.36127CC3	6056
20457	7590	02/01/2007	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			PHAM, LONG	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET				
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873				2814

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	02/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/619,039	KOUBUCHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Long Pham	2814	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 11-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 11-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.

**DETAILED ACTION*****Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirabayashi (US pat 5,614,445) in combination with Ogawa et al. (US pat 4,506,434).

With respect to claim 11, Hirabayashi teaches a semiconductor integrated circuit device comprising (see figs. 1-12 and associated text):

Trenches 4,6 formed in a semiconductor substrate 1,2 and defining active regions (where between trenches 4,4 and trenches 6,6) and dummy regions 5 (fig. 6); and

Element isolation insulating films 8,9 completely filling said trenches serving as element isolation regions, wherein dummy regions are formed at a scribing area with inherently a number, size, and layout, and a surface of said element isolation insulating films filled in said trenches at said scribing area is planarized.

Hirabayashi teaches the isolation trench is completely filled with part insulator material and part polysilicon but fails to teach the isolation trench is completely filled only with insulating material.

Ogawa et al. teach forming a trench isolation in which isolation trench is completely filled with insulator material 47 only to provide better isolation (as compared to thermal oxide) for devices on the substrate 41.

Art Unit: 2814

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor devices to incorporate teaching of Ogawa et al. into the device of Hirabayashi to achieve the above benefit.

Further with respect to claim 11, how the element isolation insulating films are planarized has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

Further with respect to claim 11, how the element isolation insulating films fill the trenches has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

With respect to claim 12, Hirabayashi further teaches a length of each of said dummy regions is shorter than a distance between external terminals 17a,17b. See fig. 4 and associated text.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirabayashi (US pat 5,614,445) in combination with Ogawa et al. (US pat 4,506,434).

With respect to claim 13, Hirabayashi teaches a semiconductor integrated circuit device comprising (see figs. 1-12 and associated text):

Trenches 4,6 formed in a semiconductor substrate 1,2 and defining active regions (where between trenches 4,4 and trenches 6,6) and dummy regions 5 (fig. 6); and

Insulating films 8,9 completely filling said trenches serving as element isolation regions, wherein dummy regions are formed at a scribing area with inherently a number, size, and layout, and a surface of said element isolation insulating films filled in said trenches at said scribing area is planarized.

Hirabayashi teaches the isolation trench is completely filled with part insulator material and part polysilicon but fails to teach the isolation trench is completely filled only with insulating material.

Art Unit: 2814

Ogawa et al. teach forming a trench isolation in which isolation trench is completely filled with insulator material 47 only to provide better isolation (as compared to thermal oxide) for devices on the substrate 41.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor devices to incorporate teaching of Ogawa et al. into the device of Hirabayashi to achieve the above benefit.

Further with respect to claim 13, how the element isolation insulating films are planarized has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

Further with respect to claim 13, how the element isolation insulating films fill the trenches has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

With respect to claim 14, Hirabayashi further teaches a length of each of said dummy regions is shorter than a distance between external terminals 17a,17b. See fig. 4 and associated text.

Further with respect to claim 14, Hirabayashi fails to teach forming bonding pads at external terminals.

However, the formation of bonding pads at external terminals to allow interconnection is well-known in semiconductor art.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirabayashi (US pat 5,614,445) in combination with Ogawa et al. (US pat 4,506,434).

With respect to claim 15, Hirabayashi teaches a semiconductor integrated circuit device comprising (see figs. 1-12 and associated text):

Trenches 4,6 formed in a semiconductor substrate 1,2 and defining active regions (where between trenches 4,4 and trenches 6,6) and dummy regions 5 (fig. 6); and

Art Unit: 2814

Element isolation insulating films 8,9 completely filling said trenches, wherein dummy regions are formed at a scribing area with inherently a number, size, and layout, and a surface of said element isolation insulating films filled in said trenches at said scribing area is planarized.

Hirabayashi teaches the isolation trench is completely filled with part insulator material and part polysilicon but fails to teach the isolation trench is completely filled only with insulating material.

Ogawa et al. teach forming a trench isolation in which isolation trench is completely filled with insulator material 47 only to provide better isolation (as compared to thermal oxide) for devices on the substrate 41.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor devices to incorporate teaching of Ogawa et al. into the device of Hirabayashi to achieve the above benefit.

Further with respect to claim 15, how the element isolation insulating films are planarized has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

Further with respect to claim 15, how the element isolation insulating films fill the trenches has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

With respect to claim 16, Hirabayashi further teaches a length of each of said dummy regions is shorter than a distance between external terminals 17a,17b. See fig. 4 and associated text.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirabayashi (US pat 5,614,445) in combination with Ogawa et al. (US pat 4,506,434).

With respect to claim 17, Hirabayashi teaches a semiconductor integrated circuit device comprising (see figs. 1-12 and associated text):

A trench 4,6 formed in a semiconductor substrate 1,2 and defining active regions (where between trenches 4,4 and trenches 6,6) and dummy regions 5 (fig. 6); and

An element isolation insulating film 8,9 completely filling said trench serving as element isolation regions wherein dummy regions are formed at a scribing area with inherently a number, size, and layout, and a surface of said element isolation insulating films filled in said trenches at said scribing area is planarized.

Hirabayashi teaches the isolation trench is completely filled with part insulator material and part polysilicon but fails to teach the isolation trench is completely filled only with insulating material.

Ogawa et al. teach forming a trench isolation in which isolation trench is completely filled with insulator material 47 only to provide better isolation (as compared to thermal oxide) for devices on the substrate 41.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor devices to incorporate teaching of Ogawa et al. into the device of Hirabayashi to achieve the above benefit.

Further with respect to claim 17, how the element isolation insulating films are planarized has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

Further with respect to claim 17, how the element isolation insulating films fill the trenches has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirabayashi (US pat 5,614,445) in combination with Ogawa et al. (US pat 4,506,434).

With respect to claim 11, Hirabayashi teaches a semiconductor integrated circuit device comprising (see figs. 1-12 and associated text):

Art Unit: 2814

Trenches 4,6 formed in a semiconductor substrate 1,2 and defining active regions (where between trenches 4,4 and trenches 6,6) and dummy regions 5 (fig. 6); and

Element isolation insulating films 8,9 completely filling said trenches serving as element isolation regions, wherein dummy regions are formed at a scribing area with inherently a number, size, and layout, and a surface of said element isolation insulating films filled in said trenches at said scribing area is planarized.

Hirabayashi teaches the isolation trench is completely filled with part insulator material and part polysilicon but fails to teach the isolation trench is completely filled only with insulating material.

Ogawa et al. teach forming a trench isolation in which isolation trench is completely filled with insulator material 47 only to provide better isolation (as compared to thermal oxide) for devices on the substrate 41.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor devices to incorporate teaching of Ogawa et al. into the device of Hirabayashi to achieve the above benefit.

Further with respect to claim 18, how the element isolation insulating films are planarized has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

Further with respect to claim 18, how the element isolation insulating films fill the trenches has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

With respect to claim 19, Hirabayashi further teaches a length of each of said dummy regions is shorter than a distance between external terminals 17a,17b. See fig. 4 and associated text.

Art Unit: 2814

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirabayashi (US pat 5,614,445) in combination with Ogawa et al. (US pat 4,506,434).

With respect to claim 20, Hirabayashi teaches a semiconductor integrated circuit device comprising (see figs. 1-12 and associated text):

Trenches 4,6 formed in a semiconductor substrate 1,2 and defining active regions (where between trenches 4,4 and trenches 6,6) and dummy regions 5 (fig. 6); and

Insulating films 8,9 completely filling said trenches serving as element isolation regions, wherein dummy regions are formed at a scribing area with inherently a number, size, and layout, and a surface of said element isolation insulating films filled in said trenches at said scribing area is planarized.

Hirabayashi teaches the isolation trench is completely filled with part insulator material and part polysilicon but fails to teach the isolation trench is completely filled only with insulating material.

Ogawa et al. teach forming a trench isolation in which isolation trench is completely filled with insulator material 47 only to provide better isolation (as compared to thermal oxide) for devices on the substrate 41.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor devices to incorporate teaching of Ogawa et al. into the device of Hirabayashi to achieve the above benefit.

Further with respect to claim 20, how the element isolation insulating films are planarized has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

Further with respect to claim 20, how the element isolation insulating films fill the trenches has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 21, Hirabayashi further teaches a length of each of said dummy regions is shorter than a distance between external terminals 17a,17b. See fig. 4 and associated text.

Further with respect to claim 21, Hirabayashi fails to teach forming bonding pads at external terminals.

However, the formation of bonding pads at external terminals to allow interconnection is well-known in semiconductor art.

Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirabayashi (US pat 5,614,445) in combination with Ogawa et al. (US pat 4,506,434).

With respect to claim 22, Hirabayashi teaches a semiconductor integrated circuit device comprising (see figs. 1-12 and associated text):

Trenches 4,6 formed in a semiconductor substrate 1,2 and defining active regions (where between trenches 4,4 and trenches 6,6) and dummy regions 5 (fig. 6); and

Element isolation insulating films 8,9 completely filling said trenches, wherein dummy regions are formed at a scribing area with inherently a number, size, and layout, and a surface of said element isolation insulating films filled in said trenches at said scribing area is planarized.

Hirabayashi teaches the isolation trench is completely filled with part insulator material and part polysilicon but fails to teach the isolation trench is completely filled only with insulating material.

Ogawa et al. teach forming a trench isolation in which isolation trench is completely filled with insulator material 47 only to provide better isolation (as compared to thermal oxide) for devices on the substrate 41.

Art Unit: 2814

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor devices to incorporate teaching of Ogawa et al. into the device of Hirabayashi to achieve the above benefit.

Further with respect to claim 22, how the element isolation insulating films are planarized has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

Further with respect to claim 22, how the element isolation insulating films fill the trenches has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

With respect to claim 23, Hirabayashi further teaches a length of each of said dummy regions is shorter than a distance between external terminals.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirabayashi (US pat 5,614,445) in combination with Ogawa et al. (US pat 4,506,434).

With respect to claim 24, Hirabayashi teaches a semiconductor integrated circuit device comprising (see figs. 1-12 and associated text):

A trench 4,6 formed in a semiconductor substrate 1,2 and defining active regions (where between trenches 4,4 and trenches 6,6) and dummy regions 5 (fig. 6); and

An element isolation insulating film 8,9 completely filling said trench serving as element isolation regions wherein dummy regions are formed at a scribing area with inherently a number, size, and layout, and a surface of said element isolation insulating films filled in said trenches at said scribing area is planarized.

Hirabayashi teaches the isolation trench is completely filled with part insulator material and part polysilicon but fails to teach the isolation trench is completely filled only with insulating material.

Art Unit: 2814

Ogawa et al. teach forming a trench isolation in which isolation trench is completely filled with insulator material 47 only to provide better isolation (as compared to thermal oxide) for devices on the substrate 41.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor devices to incorporate teaching of Ogawa et al. into the device of Hirabayashi to achieve the above benefit.

Further with respect to claim 24, how the element isolation insulating films are planarized has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

Further with respect to claim 24, how the element isolation insulating films fill the trenches has not been given patentable weight because claims are directed to a structure.

*Conclusion*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1714. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Frid, 10am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Long Pham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2814

LP